Acal Patterns and Distributed Cognition¹

Andrew Dellis and David Xpometi

Cognitive section has shown been multi-disruptionary. Growing in a dail our of a recognition that cognition as a general object of muly demanded expension from a variety of fields including populatings, linguistur, armanter receive and philosophy. More receivily, of course, budgey has come to play an increasingly largerment rate to this disciplinary universe. For all the

¹ This paper graw and of reservab sevel-sead by Faillis during the first half of TWO . maley 'special is 1999 and 1999 . Parts of Special's contribution have were presented at the April 2001 conference in philosophy of science at the Taler University Centre, Dahenmik, Canalia, kir. attendarum at wholls was parity funded by the PARY, whose examinates in hearty entrowishing it.

Brothick Abrahamann and Graham open their account of "The Life of Compliance Related in Recited and Codesis (1998) with the following defeatures. Commisse receive in the methodisciplinary recention atody of cognition and its role in burilligani agency. It countries what cognition is, what it does, and how it works. We don't entirely agree with this definition (see the following upin) but do notions the pronuncier given by the moliniumplimary character of the field.

³ In this newtons by Reclasel, Alaskanasian and Contains (1992-98) relatively billier complement in green to besingly, which appears to more of their diagrams. of the various disciplinary constitutions to the field. Moretle-less the enterance retermines to brotogonal studies on total atta. Works by Brooks (e.g. 1991a; 1991b; 1997), Clark (especially 1997), and Thelen and Smith (11744) agrada bar ibranari veza.

advantages homely by such a meliting post, some issues and questions can full between the intergence from y sensite, and it is one of these that we want to consider here. Working accompain are not typically concerned with metaphysical questions², but their affords nonetheless often raise such questions, or suggest new ways of their tening framed. Metaphysicians on the other hand are not always as attenues to current actence as would be denoted. The particular issue which removes as her at one of these microscopics of empirical and accumply send questions, and draws charity on two lines of shinking.

The first is Demost's (1991) next manyhysical piece of work to date. 'Real Patterns', This paper is, in part Democt's response to demosts that he take some definite position with respect to the question whether he is a unityl or an engliamentalist about descriptous governed from his 'intentional stance'. His comments on the reality of patterns are supposed to meet this challenge. A recent critical angagement with this paper by Ross (2000) argues that the Demostlam produce on a range of sauce is best cashed out by taking the argument of 'Roal Patterns' (with algorithms modifications) as positically a statement of final mental intology, that year! Demostrials should had the world to be 'enthrose of the way down' (Ross 2000:150).

The second line of thinking is a particular body of research pointing moverable the view that cognition is, and that it soe has "distributed" in the sense of explosing two round resources". In a sense of papers Kinds and

There are cases where such quantions become central, as has been persuadively argued by, for example, Koyré (1957) and others in consection with the semecomical revolution in the simeanth and seveneenth centuries.

^{* &}quot;Kout Puttoner" is reprinted in Daniell (1998), All page references to "Rout. Pamenta" in this paper are to the pagination of the 1998 version.

There is profiled being of Mistributed' typically associated with connectionist architectures, where calling a comparational process distributed indicates that the ways in which artematics is processed by the system in question are unlike those in classical comparational systems. For each detail and applications see Romellant and MacLellant (1986), and for an excellent overview and misoduction are Clark (1989). We take a for granted in the process paper that cognitive processing in lattic, is distributed an investly connectional ways.

Magho (1991: 1992: 1994: 1997) studied the behaviour of human players of the compact yame. Texts and compact is with predictions based on a chesical and conditional made an distributed made of system play of the lasts of childreness between observed human play and the classest productions, and supported by a number of supplementary experiments shey make a compatiting case for the thesis that human players exploit a variety of non-second exponency in the receipt of play, and remain the immunipalities are decreased of play, and remains benefits in operation.

A stant way of pursing the quarties which concerns us, then, is to any (put we would to become whether the phonomers of militarity Kinch and Magito are real patterns in Democra's sense. Defore explaining in more detail how we see the instee, and how we work to approach that quarties, though, it will be worth saying a few Gange about why it is important.

One reason is, blurdy, to 'keep the riff-raff out'. The hard-won reorgalition that cognition is distributed toyonal the bonn and into the body and world as all too amenable to appropriation by vague, includes and sestimatific forms of rhinking. Part of the way to deal with this, in the microso of a general communities to maluralism for which we won't argue on this occasion, is to get the messphysics right. Payarabend (1987; 1993), who had few matiglistical remaintenent but socialemently defended the permitted rights of what he cutled 'trachtone' (which could exclude exclupitystes) positions) notociously sought to defend astrology from actentific associates expectably Expendental 1978 91 90³. More secondly Depois (1993 10,263) who is in some ways sympethetic to Payarabend has found harself amagaling to come up with a good reason the does admit to having

Affliough see also Maglio et al. (1999) which extends the research to consider the game of Semble.

A supporter of Payershand stight object that his major purpose was to pake holds as the seventiative amogames variable as the 1975 unb-estrology "Statement of 186 leading scientists" which appeared in The Humania. Quite so, but in the recurso of doing so he does draw on a range of empirical avidance for the affects of solar and other calestial activity on terrestrial life as though such evidence supports outsidogy per ser in later lafe Ecyambend did, adminably, express discatisfaction with his 1978 book (see Tayarahand 1995:147).

"jenyoloses"] for rejecting autorlogy, a fact we would argue as largely explicable by reference to his commission as a highly disordered metaphysics. Talk of mind, as as least expedition, being special wider than the finite of the besin can true analy against place to, to example. Hurley's (1954) payore-unduced talk of 'Mind at Large'. If this scent implausible or aven alarmies, consider The Embandorf Mond by Vanda, Theorems, and fineds (1991) who take their relies subtle and interesting reflections on embodied, embedded against, anchored in some good empirical work, to cours as evidence applies realist and objections visors of healy mind and work) instead they foreign a locus on Embiliary transformative studying and meditable practices in order to account for 'the basic circularly of our creatitions'. Clark (1997,173) expresses related woming about their community.

A more serious resear, besides crowd commit, is the current thinking in depolitive reviewer is relevant to a correty of philosophical deleter. concerning mental consistion and explanation but supply our oil from such dehates partly for the lack of much work linking the results of cognitive rationals with the metaphytical committee of phillippiness. One striking complete the second complete and the contract of the contract contract of Districture (For a process example and Kim 1998) on a basically Humban conception of cancellos, when more and more of cognitive eclarate moves. transport permittant geogram approach. Without water langues being built between the two areas, parts of philosophy of mind are going to remain satily. and of which. Teather than build a heige from expects we hope to approach our question largely by moving an marilelyle resource pain pregion. that resource being Van Gelder's useful paper on "The dynamical hyperbesis in rangelitte ezieure" (1993). In diat paper he distinguishes between a euture impolibrate, to the effect than complete agents actually are dynamical nymente, auf a ferrodulge bygodinait, which is the name combines, or emiologically agreeite thesis that cognitive agency our be understood Appropriestry. The discussions will half us get to a position where we can make yourse handway with what was maked allowe as one control operations: whether the continues Manuffled by Kirch and Maglin are real patterns to Literaturi de promer

Although Kirch and Maglio's research is surveyed below, we should say more than we take it as uncontentions that they have prefix much

established a distributed version of Van Gelder's knowledge hypothesis with reference to human Tetris playing. But that means that they have established that such players can be well understood as dynamical systems which include a range of components or interacting parts, only some of them neural. If there is a case that the nature hypothesis follows, then we have the result that human Tetris players actually are distributed systems, that the computational problems demanded by the game and solved by the players are not handled by the brain alone. Specifically, then, the subject of the paper is the ontology of the cognitive agents posited by accounting for the mind as dynamically realised by both body and world. The question whether the nature hypothesis follows is one which connects up directly with the debate concerning realist and instrumentalist readings of Dennett. We think that we can make a case for the conclusion that the dynamical structures identified by research like that of Kirsh and Maglio are indeed real patterns. in Dennett's sense (as refined and debugged by Rosa) or at least are candidates for being real patterns.

In what follows we proceed as follows: First we set out the outlines of Dennett's argument in 'Real Patterns' and explain a little of the context in which it occurs. Then we briefly survey the accumulating evidence for distributed cognition against the backdrop of more traditional expectations. With both of those topics set up we turn to a more detailed examination of Kirsh and Maglio's research on Tetris playing. This in turn allows us to begin dealing with our central question, which occupies the remainder of this paper, beginning with a discussion of Van Gelder on the dynamical hypothesis in cognitive science.

Dennett on Real Patterns

Demett defends three different 'stances' as ways of looking at, explaining, and sometimes predicting the behaviour of part of the world, each of which serves particular purposes and has particular limitations. The three are the physical, the design and the intentional stances. Being a type of physicalist (although he generally uses the label 'materialist') Demett thinks that the physical world is causally closed, which means that any physical state of affairs can 'in principle' be causally accounted for by reference only to physical states and processes. When we take the physical stance to

something we are concerned with the physical processes which are involved in it, and assuming that we had accurate enough measurements, physical understanding, and computing resources (the proverbial large enough piece of paper and sharp enough pencil) we could, again 'in principle', follow every detail of what happened and even make the best possible, although not necessarily deterministic', predictions of what would happen next.

In all except very rare cases we are not in a position to take a physical stance to any system in any detail. Furthermore, and Dennett is especially alive to this next point, what maners to us often doesn't depend on specific facts at the physical level. I just don't care what kind of polymer my drinking straw is made of, as long as it has two, and only two, holes and doesn't make my drink taste odd. This makes sense because often we're concerned with functional aspects of the large-scale activity of objects or systems. This is where the other two stances come in.

When we take the *design* stance towards some system we 'assume' (not necessarily as a deliberate decision) that it serves some function, and ask what that function might be, or whether it is working properly, and so forth, but largely ignoring what would be paramount from the physical stance (see Dennett 1995:229f). Design stance descriptions are *simpler* than physical ones, which is to say that they are often simple enough for us to make and understand them at all, which is almost never the case with the physical stance, but this tractability is bought at the expense of leaving out a lot of detail. This makes design stance descriptions and explanations somewhat vulnerable, but they can be very effective—with almost no physical stance information at all, I can predict pretty confidently that a drinking straw with, say, seven holes of the same size wen't be much use for drinking.

Finally we can, in some cases, take the *intentional* stance, which means treating a system as having goals and some measure of rationality.

⁹ Papineau (1993:16) puts it as follows: 'I take it that physics, unlike the other special sciences, is *complete*, in the sense that all physical events are determined, or have their chances determined, by prior *physical* events according to *physical* laws. In other words we steed never look beyond the realm of the physical in order to identify a set of antecedents which fixes the chances of every physical occurrence'.

When we sty this we amplit speak in terms, of "toying" to the a, or planning to do y or "wanting" to achieve z. which is so say that we uttribute twirefu and desires, or anneathing like them, the apparatus of "folk psychology", to the Aystam (see, selected, Transert 1987, 1998). For Demost Intentional stance descriptions are always interpretations, and, furthermore, not always understanding. Monethicides he thinks that they are the best available strategy for dealing with some types of system in the world, most notably one modifier (toy) by to despribe anything that you is consecute also is always without referring to machines, desires, beliefs and so lbrik.)

It is pushably not correlating, given that Denner thinks there is a physical state to be interested as in a state of what is and from the other two standers, expectably the intentional. After all, meet of the communicative actions defeates conserve problems (seed as not) which mise because thinking that physics is countly closed access to make any non-physical control with expectably those offering to beliefs and desires, each timestand with redundancy. Denneti semiliance makes Quisene verses level suggesting that intentional descriptions (referring to 'propositional utilities') are a kind of force of people or 'drawate physical descriptions do, less just the feater to the same way that fundamental physical descriptions do, less just their way by having world. That he also goes to some lengths to make clear that there are true capitualitous which are provide only from the aritmbonal or during atmosp. So is he is realise or an incommencialist?

He has said that he nine to place his view "fundy on the holfe edge between the intolerable extremes of sample realized and sample relativism" but admits that 'this has not been recognized as a stable and attractive option by today rather, in the field' and that his 'mittle, have punistantly attempted to show that my position lumbles into one abyes or the other' (Dennett 1987: 97). He also trains that his view should be seen as 'a som of realized, since I maintain that that patterns [visible from the intentional stance] are really, objectively there so be noticed to overlooked' (Dennett 1987:97).

Part of the problem for Dennert have in that the adoption of the machinest sum of service postulating critics and processes which are both alertage and observes dependent, which encourages an matrumentalist resting. On the other hand the facts about the success or

instant of the adoption of the stance, are to Dennett perfectly objective, so that beliefs and desires look likely in some sense to be real. In The fanomous States Deniest address left, the problem, and reference his desire of the small-edge product:

My thesis will be that while belief is a perfectly objective phonomerous (that apparently makes are a realist), it can be discerned only from the point of view of one who adopts a certain predictive strategy, and its existence can be confirmed only by an exercisent of the success of that strategy (that apparently makes me uninconventables) (Denner 1987:15).

There are two main components to Demott's original development of his partition here: one is the defence of the trafibless of the intentional scance, and the idles measured the photon of the "potteres" referred to us the processes quoted above, it is the accord component which is of interest to us, and we take it as more than astablished that the intentional stance suchlas the making of powerful predictions with grooter efficiency than any other available method.

In principle of both projects Transact (1987: 25-26) offers a shought experiment which for our purposes, we will simplify prof alorstep. Suppose some Marisms with superior fundingence were to land on earth. Suppose, further, they did not need the interdional stance or even the design stance to predict our ledsorior in all stockhold, but that instand they may recognished the behaviour of people at the macrophysical level in order to predict behaviour down to for example the next but of you, the reader's, eyelif. Eventually, we use to progress, they can product the individual bulaneous of all the bodies they observe without ever treating any of them as intermional systems. (We are not in cappains that they do this with flequentum or much other.)

From the Maximus point of view, then, we really one not believes at all. If so, it might seem, our matus as believes as not objective, but is instead an undanguous phic notion, of utility gives to our limited shifting to take the world at the physical level. Denoted suggests, however, that even though these Martines may be able to predict the future of the human sace at a parety physical stones level. If they did not also see us as intentional

systems, they would be missing something perfectly objective. Namely the patterns in human hehaviour that are describable from the intentional stance, and only from that stance. The patterns that is, that support our own generalisations and predictions.

In this respect suppose that a Martian engages in a prediction contest with an earthling. The Martian, doing all his microphysical calculations, predicts the behaviour of an individual. Given that the earthling would be equally able to predict the behaviour of that individual, say a balsman after being conspicuously clean bowled, without access to the physical stance, the Martian would be left in amazement at how this was done. And it is amazing to think that an intentional stance prediction could sometimes, indeed often, do just as well as one generated from the physical stance, even assuming hugely inrealistic resources for pursuing the physical stance.

We love that we can make generally effective predictions of the behaviour of intentional systems without paying attention to their microphysical constituents. The question, though, is why we are able to do this, or what we are latching onto when we do. Dennett's answer is that there are high level patterns in the activity of intentional systems, and that we can and do track these patterns.

In fact, Dennett is committed to their being a range of 'higher level' patterns, not only ones associated with intentional systems. He illustrates the key idea by means of a discussion of Conway's Life, the cellular automata designed to test some of von Neumann's ideas concerning replication. The universe of Life is a two-dimensional grid, the cells of which can be either occupied or unoccupied. Time in Life moves discretely from generation to generation, and the state of the next generation is determined by the following rules, applied to each cell in the grid: If the number of occupied neighbours of a cell is two, that cell stays in its current state into the next generation, if the number of occupied neighbour cells is three, the cell will be occupied in the next generation, and in all other cases the cell will be unoccupied. That's all simple enough, what is interesting is what can come out of such a system of rules.

In an important paper on general features of cellular automata Wolfram classifies cellular automata into types based on their dynamical

Dennett (1995) also discusses Life in related ways.

properties. He devokes them into four types (Wolfram 1984:5), these, class i. which converge quickly onto and remain in a homogenous arms, those, class Til, whilele recult in reparated simple configurations of recognised calls and/or company carry personal confirm of challeng theory class (II), which would be given or other chaotic parters, and finally these of class IV, which produce framples builtied structures, sometimes long-lived! These optime. correspond soughty to significal dynamical possibilities, in that the first class amounts on a system with a point autoaster, the accord to a periodic autoaster na Barrit egelej and the lept two tas Strange attractors^{vit}, or at lexist to configurations and gets of miles which take a very large number of generations to converge on some more sublic supplied to a fill classes iii mult IV me of many indepent, along they manifest the paul interesting structures and also have the property that prediction of what structures will casals from the application of the raise to a given examing simulation is not tennalis determinists by may need maked anadalism of the rife. and configuration (Woldiam 1984:31; see also Weldiam 1983).

The structures provide in Tife are rish and varied, including "blankers", "gladers", "space-ingo", "pulies trains", "glader enters" and so forth, A glider is most castly described as a shape which moves through Life-space, consorting through a fixed sequence of transitional states like a little digital subgrapille. Worthing Life process a consequence and recong a glader at a all but impossible to think of the glider as a moving thing, but there's the rath: from the horizon level of description there are 'only' calls which are either more off, and up resting takes place. Are gliden, then, and their motion, or any of the other humature and behaviour of the Life world.

[James 1] points (and that it has been established in principle []put a Universal Turing Machine could be constructed on the Lafe plane, and invites as to indigene and which observangement to be consing a chart playing program. The required array would be huge, requiring about 10¹⁷ pixels machining to Arma adjunctes. (Demost 1998-109) A range of levels of description of such a Late array are possible—from one concerning stagle.

[&]quot;Lorenz (1963) is the clause reterence base, although he himself does not use the serm "Attacope attracted" in this paper, referring to the time development of his priced.

calls, through ones concerting gliders and other risjects, right up to ones appropriating makes which of the stray as obeyonessed. The "higher" the fevel of interpretation, the less work is needed to make predictions, including good ones such as "the next move should be an attempt to save the Queen, purbably QuP". Indeed cash predictions can be mutice and relatively easy, whereir applying the transition rules of the indiana of applying the transition rules of the indiana of applying the probability of probability demanding.

The 'objects' to which we refer when making sheet higher level alwaniptume, interpretations must previousland and abjects, meanthing to Element, what Reschenbech called abstracts, the same type of objects to control of gravity, or 'Denner's fost sock course the point defined as the center of the samiliest sphere than and be insufficiel around all the make [Denner has ever] lost in [tita] like' (Denner 1998:97). Reschenbuch's abtracts were to be contrasted with illiato—the more fundamental level 'physical' properties of annualities, which in the Life size would be the states of individual cells. Denner, arguing that metaphysically speaking all abstracts are equal, says we should concentrate on which once pay their way by giving it, respinatory, description in predictive learning, which he thinks measurement stance descriptions do exceptionally well. The 'good' abstract objects, he says, are shown which 'descript to be taken acriously, learned about, each' (Denner) 1998.97)

While paraly designing the domaind for an account of reality which would answer the question whether he is reality or instrumentality about even 'paral' abstracts. Theoretically required that a kind of realition about patterns, or plumphic, retunity intercontrolly right! (Denor; 1998-97), drawing on the information theoretic notion of compressibility; patterns, unlike randomness, are unrepresented. Butterns, or this tenne, 'dot there to be described to whether or only we care (made them! (Denor); 1987-78). And, we might old intercipe or not we care to get metaphysical about them." Before we can start asking our question about the example, we need to key a little about distributed cognition.

Distributed Cognition

If can accommutated and unnocuous to suppose that cognition, or hanking, is notherly done exclusively by the brain, or at least the control nervous

nymani. Pro strate time across the demistrat image of copyrition for home that it is anneal familial comparintnum. While combroversy und debute combrane on country what have of computation a marks be, there is something like a conserves that name kind of information processing will be going on. Not andly that, leading finds tilen redenallet numberings the greenes exhibit do the environime, constituilly in the limits of the past few decades of work on neural assessments and ever flow conformating of the flows inching of the basic basis. Veryang that former and (Jeter Wie) powerful information processing engines. of some son, shough, is not the same as saving that all information processing grant and in hardner. These two distinct claims are all the easily had childred, greatly becomes at our seems introducible construction that would bring which down's have a windy-companion information processing architecture, which a huge collection of connected reconnect elearly deed, could be doing any computing at all. With Cowley and Xeussett (forthcoming) we call the view that all cognition does mise place in the brain. *coppisive lessonslices.".

There is mountary evalence, through that cognitive internation is uningsided, and that human and animal cognition, not to mention the most effective and flexible artificial committee applicablemental associate, i.e. bodily and/or covernmental cores, us a water range of ways. As excellent survey of research of this type is Clark (1997), skinough are also Trackm and Amith (1994), Renalis (1991a). Opposed to cognitive internalism, then, is the verw that countries and only can be, but typically is discributed. The aution of adving problems by leading on the confederation is, of course, not new. Vygorday's (1949) 'zone of proximal development' concerns what the propriate and the propriation of the second state of the second st distributed cognition unds so that that distributed cognition is not a developmental phase, but no compline feature of effective cognition. This work also concerns natify with developing finely structured made is of the alicanifernal processor which it identifies and souther¹². For new perpetas, as noted above, we will focus on a particular commote, which is Karib and Mayliah, manakan Taringlaying habayina .

[&]quot; For a back mavey of the one for distributed expedien see Spacett (this values).

Kirch and Maglio on Tetris Playing

Torris is an exaction areas for making hypotheses about committee. It is a your played at a computer, in which players attempt to position various fulling stars. Pelast Karat and Marko half "sauls" but that they consisting fill horizontal rows serous the homem of the field of play, and result in the player heling awarded a number of paints. Completed nows disappears, and allow whatever is above them to move that manker of some downwards as a result. Incomplete room become buried under receiv placed wide, which reduces the around of gapes, and begins time, available for change new terrors. The meme ends when the field of play becomes so classed with incomplete rows flot on one tolds can descend from the cop. Decides the way at which manifeced roots acrease difficulty, the same automatically increases the rate with which solds fall as a function of المالة وغيان وجويوان المحر ومناوراتها أمر إزياد وموران وإلى والمورو المانيات conson formed by mids elecady to place, is difficited by the possible actions flor this player our perform with simple key-troker. This y me* (1) mixter 3 soul 30 dearers contractoriomes. (2) translate at one step so the icit. (3) transfers to the right, and (4) drop. The laster involves morning the sold makenelly from the current position to the position of would eventually come to ross (if no more large where present). Only one raid is over in median at a time, and a new me medically released from the Available types appears the record the previous one comes to rest, or is deceased (Kirsh & Master 1994).

The game, then, has definite objectives, and unambiguous criteria of convex used follows it makes impressing elements which me highly time depositions and it is possible to gather a range of temporally precise data about player behaviour some of which can be easily interpreted and evolunted given that fact the name of the game are specified as advance?", As noted as Spanieli (this volume), Kirsh and Maglio were led by their investigations to propose a coreposy of 'apictomic' actions, to be monthed as

Similar advantages follow from Hatchins' (1990) decision to study co-operative cognition in seams by focusing on navigation, which yields a similarly spontantive backdrop helping interpret and evaluate the activities of navigation seams.

with 'pragmatic' actions.14. A pragmatic action is one malestaken to bring an agent client to recomplished goal, wherever on applying geton (older a physical scient) is performed as order to modify the computational state of the system performing the action. A more detailed account of splannic actions of older that or epictorial scient is use which improves cognitive sections action by:

- Industry analysis and in the second computation of the second computation.
- carboing the number of stage involved in mental suggestation;
 i.e., time complexity;
- reducing the probability of error in mental conspication, i.e. unrefuduity (Eurah & Magint 1994).

Kinds and Maglin's empirical case for the reconstruct of epistemic actions, with respect to Telms playing is founded on a contrast with what a classical copplifies internalled approach to the game would be. They develop a model of lines each or approach would bed with the magnitude problems years by the game, which breaks processing down into the following flow stages:

- Creams an early, hitmap of representation of valenced features of the commodulations
- Encode the biamap representation in a more compact, chunked, symbolic representation;
- 3. Compare the legal place to put the equal:
- Compact the trajectory of moves to achieve the goal placement. (Kirth & Maglio 1994).

Using this model Kirsh and Maglio predicted the number of sold resations, which would be optimal, and then observed the actual behaviour of human players. The model predicts that recations should only take place after the optimal with placescape lary topic each link of which is not what happens at all—recations and translations are far more abundant than the traditional

-

Kirah and Magilo (1992) describe an additional category of 'perceptive' define.

view predicts, and they start earlier than it can account for. In fact, they often start *before* a new zoid is completely visible, which in terms of the classical model would imply that they were entirely unmotivated.

Of course Kirsh and Maglio can't, and don't, just say that these actions have to be 'epistemic' actions. Rather, by means of a series of subsidiary experiments, they show how the efficiency of human computation is improved by means of them, in a range of ways. These include demonstrations that rotated zoids can be more quickly identified; that engaging in physical rotations simplifies the problem of detecting 'fit' between a new zoid and the contour below; and that performing translations which 'bounce' zoids off the walls of the playing area reduce the likelihood of vertical alignment errors. Finding the rationale, or the pay-off, for engaging in these actions, though, means abandoning not only the details but the foundations of the traditional view Kirsh and Maglio used to generate predictions and empirical contrasts. Computation, or Tetris cognition, isn't all 'in the head' but rather draws on a range of resources extending beyond the brain, which are closely coupled together to achieve optimal overall performance.

For our purposes it is important to note that one result of Kirsh and Maglio's work is a kind of specification of the high level, or functional, properties of a distributed cognitive architecture. The cognitive system they discovered and partly analysed is at some remove from a low level neural and muscular description, and vastly removed from a bottom level 'physical stance' description in terms of fundamental particles. Rather their analysis highlights, partly by means of a kind of 'reverse engineering'." What it is that brain, body and external systems (especially screen and keyboard) contribute to dealing with the computational demands of Tetris, and why these resources do a better job in just those combinations rather than others. Their work is not concerned with how exactly fingers, brains, eyes, screens and so forth do what they do, and so components which were functionally equivalent but structurally different would in principle be interchangeable would in a sense be indistinguishable to their enquiry. What they find and describe is at the level of the design stance, given that an overall intentional

¹⁵ Dennett (1995:212-219) discusses reverse engineering and 'artefact hermeneutics' inter alia.

stance reading comes for 'free' with the rules and objectives peculiar to the game of 'fetris.

Let's call the architecture that they discover a 'structure' to leave open whether it is a 'pattern' or not. As a structure, it is a specification of a distributed, or coupled cognitive architecture. Now we ask whether it is the type of thing a Dennettian should want to be a realist about. As we said above, we use Van Gelder on dynamical systems as something of a bridge between empirical and metaphysical questions, and having asked about the reality of Kirsh and Maglio's structure, we leave that question hanging for a while to take a lonk at Van Gelder's views. Although Van Gelder refers to a range of examples of empirical cognition research, Kirsh and Maglio's work is not on his list of examples.

Van Gelder on Dynamical Systems

In his 1998 publication, Van Gelder sets out to clarify and defend what he calls the 'dynamical hypothesis' in cognitive science, which is the hypothesis that cognitive agents are dynamical systems. He argues that this view could replace" what he takes to be the still-dominant computational hypothesis, holding that cognitive agents are digital computers. Van Gelder's contention is that digital computers and dynamical systems are importantly different types of system, and the ways in which he makes and defends this claim are important for our own argument here.

For his purposes Van Gelder takes a system to be a 'set of interdependent variables' where the state of the system is 'simply the state or value of all its variables at a time' and the behaviour of the system 'consists of transitions between states' presumably depending on the precise nature of the interdependence between the variables (Van Gelder 1998:616). On this wide understanding it is clear that both digital computers and dynamical systems are going to be examples of systems. Before turning to the problem of distinguishing the two, which he clearly must if his thesis is to make

¹⁶ Van Gelder (1998:627) takes pains to indicate that whether the dynamical hypothesis will replace the computational hypothesis would depend on the outcome of 'sustained empirical investigation'.

years. Van Ocides offers one futher distinction, which is that between concrete and interest eventue.

A formored ryones is, for Van Gelder, a system such as the solar system in which the variables in the system (for its preparable referring to museum, positional, momenta and so torth) fare ectual institute of the real world changing in real time to accordance with natural laws" (Van Celtier 1998:617). Although he makes on member of Decides (who does our superior an all in his list of references), piste the similarity between a concerte system. in this scree and the type of consideration which would be relevant when taking the physical chare. An "alebout" system, on the other bond, is a set of 'abstract variables governed by mathematical raics' (Van Gekke 1199/617). Sometimes we can build or find a concrete system which 'realises' as alestent system. Var. (John's cample being a playsical enterture which realises a goven 'abstract commutational system', in addition to this, Van. Gairler aux up what he wife a relationship of 'implementation' in which a line lavel system implements a higher front system and cutom the unlinkles. of the higher level system are "assistant constructed" out of variables of the lower level case. The implementation relationship is weaker than full rémitly, but does allow some degree of relativement et the level of hehaviour.

Van Gebier considers the most significant features of diploid computers to be thus variables and states of such systems are themselves stages, that one is discrete, sinc changes or computation depend on an algorithm, and that the cases and behaviours of the system admit of systematic interpretations (consider the catoufoles reample above). For level, at which we are systemly interested in a digital computer is that governed by the interpretation, other than with details of the consists system intelf, on which the object of our microsit or angle-resolution.

With respect to dynamical systems, on the other hand, Van Gelder amphasizes file importance of the fact that variables or states in such systems, on typically quantitative rather than descrete. But time does not typically operate discretely and further than quantitative states can depend on time, and that rates of change can play a significant rate in france factor states a system as dynamical to the extensitation is quantitative in one of the above spaces. [Van Gelder 1998;619] An elevance transfection at this point would note that on the basis of the characterisations.

fruit act our distitul communera atmosfy are dynamical avaicant, which incluprints facts switched for Van Celle 1/2 main project. This is, of course, entirely true alignful congagners are at basis among to yyatems an Van-Colden's sense, and they undergo changes which means that some dynamics axiota ha anne of them ^{17.} Von Galifan is anainally number of this, unal obsumb the fact that many of the dynamical systems he has in maid are studied by means of software which is run on the very digital comparem which he thinks are a had madel of cognition. Refere boiling or how You Gables shall, with the objection it is worth gaussing to note a similarity with the case of Decentil. Result the complete than Transport account of immediately success. descriptions is congressify december to college outer representations just because the alternative physical states description occurs to be helding the expect prompts. The chapt's position is supposed to show how it can be OK to be a kind of realist about intentional descriptions without weakening his commissions to the physical succes. One can readily imagine a commissed "digitalist" anying that You Gelder might well be consect to any that unicocatina and amenorical themes can be sent about dynamical avalence, but that at homeon we know along them because of things we have done with digital computers, and beauty that digital appropriates up still the altitional cament and captaining womens.".

As most above Van Gelder distinguishes between a 'names' and a 'knowledge' hypothesis, each of which he takes to be a component of the dynamical hypothesis. For enters hypothesis violes that cognitive agents are dynamical systems, while the knowledge hypothesis states that cognitive science should 'take dynamical from', i.e. that cognitive agents are heat studied to dynamical systems. The objection presently on the table effectively grants the knowledge hypothesis has reduces to allow an inference from it to the nature hypothesis. Vox Geldes attempts to ange with this difficulty by means of a ration of simulation where he grants that a digital congenter can simulate a dynamical system, by means of gains which in

-

¹⁷ For A Aimiliar point new Squarett (1999-2617) conserving Cillien? [1998) distinction between "complex" systems, and those which are "marely complicated".

¹⁸ This objection has been made to Van Orider in the responses to his paper.
Sea, e.g., Quartz (1998) and Beer (1998).

turn is supplemented on the low borel dynamical system which the composed naturalistics (Van Gelder 1998:629). This long rouse from instantiation to implementation to simulation stands in star's contrast to the case of digital computation to simulation stands in star's contrast to the case of digital computation making abstract copplitive models which are themselver, digital, where Van Ogigler highs that the reinjunction testween the computer and the model is one of realization, given the security amount sumination between the model and the basic properties of the computer. Whether this answers the objection, and to what extent Van Gelder's proliferation of types of system system relation (Identity, Instantiation, Emplementation, realization, standardor) heave up anties riggeons, serviciny are crucial questions, which we postpose outsi force in this pages. For now we need to sole that Kirok and Maglio's work definitely creme as a kind of exercise in what Van Gelder teach in the proper method of capatitive actions, an instance of the 'knowledge hypothesis' at work and definencing results.

Each and Maglio, through, do not deliver (for reasons noted above) a detailed woulding dynamical clear-option of human Tetric playing. They describe a system which is both distributed and dynamical, to be sure, but it is clear the following techniques of their options of the actually organized as the highest level relevant to explanation of cognitive performances. (1998: 519). One neight say that as the cognitive internalise model fell in the face of the facts, Eirth and Maglio's engine, retennels revealed the bound outlines of a distributed system with distributed boundaries and a perfocular division of labour across itself. This understanding permitted algorificant versable needlestones.

Consider, for example, the unling of mining a void forface of his complexity emerged from the top of the screen, which Kirch and Maghio observed to be common in homeon players. Result the observed planning material of Lebra playing, and how on its terms only minimal, i.e. interiors before the computational problem has been "solved" can have no possible value. Kirch and Maglio suggest that these actions make sense however, if we consider the possibility of an equicions functions, manely that the rotations are enacted to unearth information early in the game. Given the extensions of maly intensification, and the fact that work only partially appearing from the top of the across are an most occasions ambiguous, Kirch and Maglio (1994) predicted that "if a steeling exists for reconsignating shapes early then good players totally strike on it". Recaring a zond early to

expose initially hidden parts is such a stantage. Semantimes, however, solutions are not recreasely to identify an organizing vend, yours if a player has report browledge of the game they will realize that certain shapes emerge only from certain columns and thus early receive would reveal no new information. Interestingly, and in line with the thesis that early will relation as opisional, work that we completely ambiguous due to their matral partial images being identical in both shape and position, are retained more than these which are not Such a total in the data is remaintent with the thesis that the pound of carry rotation is to uncover new unformation immediately, since this bias in rotation rules out a purely pragmatic purpose. The action thus serves not to position the wild towards the final prognatic platement but rules to ad the computational end of revealing new information. Action and cognition are coupled.

The originities presenting models which Kinds and Maglia (1994) take their research to discover suggrass that 'universal functional usus made the agent fare) in closed inop interaction with the numide world. The result is a (ight coupling between interact prof extensed processes, which allows for 'officeding structure to the world or for arranging things so that the world pre-ampte the need for making carrain inferences! (Kirch & Maglia 1994). Acries, on their view, it age of the eggs or which regesters programs.

It would be possible to explain in further detail the ways in which Elizh and Maglio's work flix with the finer points of Van Gelder's account of dynamical systems, in particular his enginees, or dynamicals interest or changes rather than seates, parallel rather than sense processes, ongoing processes rather than ceast which divide nearly and input/output atages, control or even bestinity to the simulated view of the role of informal representations in cognition, and the relative importance of 'compling' enginees to state setting Each a disconging would be partly taggested to our control purpose, though, and possibly of limited independent interest. The key point is that Elizh and Maglio's work flix very well with Van Gelder's account of the importable medial of Tenix playing in which augmition is highly distributed, and where there are a variety of couplings between the agent as conceived from a cognitive internalist perspective and the body and covarious set of that agent, (As Van Gelder 1978:610 water, the dynamical covarious each of the local and

hypothesis is upon to the possibility that a property tasken-lood suggestive system "solghs include variables not literally contained tenths the agent parties," (inc. togeth (parties) submitted understanding makes cause of the observational data, and as further supported by the range of substitute experiments which were part of Kirsh and Magiso's project.

There dieter to the in the any problem with saying that the higher level dynamical functions and structures identified by Kirsh and Muglio repartment in Deniera's sense. They are not observed from the physical stance, but rejute to the level of the cognitive system as a whole, and given both some input from the mismional staff decipy stances. Are they real justions, thought?

Nature and Knowledge

Let us grant for the purposes of argument that Kirch and Magine satisfy the southermosts of Vine Galdes's knowledge hypothesis. The quantities, these, is whether share as a determible inference in this case to the built of the mature hypothesis, thus Texas, players means just be understood as distributed dynamical systems, but that they are such systems. The couplings they describe between mind and world, healn and game could be seen as useful meetimus, in removationlining and opening for the actions of Tetris players.

Van Celder (1998:615) would presumably say that the answer here it five. The given on force, to strongest that the dynamical hyperfestic it is strong conductate for being the flaw of qualitative absention. For engagement to replace Newell and Stimus 's proposal that cognition should be understood in terms of physical symbol systems. With reference to his differentiation of the dynamical hypothesis one the nature and knowledge hypotheses. Van Celder claims that the best evidence for the former would be the truth of the latter (Van Celder 1998:199).

Just how good, though, as the best evidence ture? Van Gelder is extend to stoke that even the seature byportunit is "constanted in the first undance not with low-level systems but with how agents are causally organised at the highest level relevant to an explanation of copyritive preferences, whatever thus may be" (1998; 619). So as understanding of a pararive dynamical system is terms of the knowledge byporthesis would be a

high lived dynamical manifel which, of moreoty or that the autore hyperhesis. would be true to this case, would be instantiated by some real physical ayascra. Az neste aboye Van Gelder distinguisher instanziation from simulation, partly in order to make recent of low digital companies, whose qualitative placetime is of the seal he wishes to say should not be taken as paradigments of copyritions, can uneartheless be used to explore the dynamical systems be thanks are guradegraded. Recall that the claim he music was find a dupted communic can insuantiate a simulation tool, which in turn can simulate a fluidinical system of payable interest (Van Gebler 1998 620). Only the ampulaire avaiem is considered to be a model of the saried avaiem on his view, raises than in what he calls ordindox computational modelling where hould the consente compatitional agreem and the stratus thigher havel digital ayaism are coundered models of the sarget ayasem. The sarget system is in mm cappared he an instantiation of a dynamical system made our of constrain objects. (For sooid measure the parent avalent as form, for Van Califor, mallious an absence dynamical system, as which is in hoped the system simulated on the comments remainter vertera will comment than the first terms. this last layer is not enucial for our respond.

While should be manifely, if by his means, abvious, is that the relationship between the larget cyclem and the commete objects red of schiols is as composed as importantly sizultar to the relationship between me intentional system and the physical level of description on Dennett's author. The dynamical properties at the higher level of description are not of the same type as those at the higher level of description are not of the same type as those at the higher level they pertot wome variations between high and low level, which is to say that they can be multiply realized. This means that if we yount for the properties of oxymment, that the abstract dynamical system produced by some proce of cognitive accuracy really does model a surger system, even to the extens of being isomorphic with its question remains: Should we be realist or introduced about the target system. a largest level dynamical system not attentional with, and not reducible to, some as of concrete bosom level dynamics as the level of thirds. So we're tell on Persent's Easterning.

Ross (2000:147) quotes Denned explaining why he is alarmed at philosophics who take "Real Patterns" to be an exercise in metaphysics or ontology:

I wouldn't want in that our my ontology and then find I have to spend the rest of my life defending or sevicing it, learned of getting on with what to see use the generally proving reques the the nature of consciousness, or selves, or five wall (Demoil 1975-212).

Fig. his part Kips, thinks Demett show med a metaphysics, and that 'Beal Fatterns' goes most of the way to delivering at but eliminately faits to show because of the way Dennett buys into the distinction between illustration and abstracts. He make that the distinction can seem like a way of dainy justice to the 'promety of physics', which is clearly important for Dennett's materialism (Ross 2000:152).

(Equati jo along as we saw alone, a decidy committed entereductional. Thus care old one way of underwriting the allient-abeliance Alatinetine, since an onsological reductionist who is also convinced about the prompty of physics can your only produce and kind as a posited kind (an abanaca) made us out of Ambanacial cultics (the Ullata). Amazan rannya trinica, pastiriano, repuesta atal 1-y 3 wida ranga of supervasiance theorem, tounder on the fact that they are typically woulded to automatic assumptions show the nature of physics. As Ross (2000:1559) notes, requirements of the control of the c generalizations, and that supervisated types can be multiply realized. Then satireductionism is based on the cisim that the disjunctive set of physical When a which is the tane for a cape verient type will not be able to feature or the right happing lipsy. But those is no reposit to accept that seem of how. physics proceeds, and hence the required contract collapse, so that the augmentions flowers. Adding of the reality of augmentions types devotors to colleger one manuscrations: Our special sectors generalize over supervenient types and because we lack the entireme manufact decaycary for identifying their disjunctive expervenience facer (Res.) 2007-136). 3-4 resty that, on this view the abstractic or supersonant types. and up being uninersections—envisors of our episonic limitations.

Receive against (with which we are being very helef here) in that the way to proceed is to abandon the durinetion between allians and abstracts, and the implication of depress of reality, or of first and second class entological cursons, carriely. His proposed account of reality is as follows:

To be ideal and an add qualent, and a part an ideal if

- (i) if w projectiable under all least one physically powerble perspective, and
- (ii) It encodes information about at least one structure of events or entiting 8 where that grandling is injury officers; in information theoretic forms, then the bit-map encoding of 5, and where for all least one of the physically possible peoperatives under which the pullent is projectively, there exists an appeal of 8 that control be tracked unices the encoding is recovered from the perspective in question (Rose Moth 161).

The chief strengths of this proposal are that it does justice to the primary of playing, in not reductional and also than our permit on infinite antidagy. Cranally, it is not anticoperation, and brace could not possibly be instrumentalize. We also note that it is partly an extension and refinement of the information theoretic action of patterns. Instant at in Demostr's man talk of compressibility in 'Real Patterns'. We don't aim to defend flow's proposal bare, at least not on its own manaphysical tense, but we do hope to show the typic lying Demostr it helps. Van Gelder and answers on question about the reality of the potterns, or 'structures', discovered by Karsh and Maglio.

View Gelider, like Determent, member in jurisifications for the motive front the applicability of some approach (dynamical systems), the infention stance) to realism about the type of structure to which that approach accorded to be consistent. In fact, extenditionalist personal infall at either a pentitive option, or an universpicitic attenuative to be guarded against. Note that Reas's account gives neither Dannen nor Van Gelder the conclusion that the things which matter to them, intentional excitant, or required dynamical ence, actually are real. What is does do, though, is provide a way of saying that they read be, and what it would make if they were. What examply is reason total as, quite properly, on Ross's view an empirical question, and was which there is no guarantee are which the shirt is account to account and one question, then, is that what Kirsh and Magito discover could indeed be a real partern. In a Demonstrate not just a powerful way (one among many) of

describing cognition but that it could bring us closer to a better undermanding of the types of things there really are in the world.

Description of Philosophy
University of Patric

- Bochiel, W. & U. Graham (eds). 1998. A Computation to Cognitive Science. Onford: Blackwell.
- Resided, W., A. Abrohomsen, A. O. Gaphyon. 1998. The Life of Cognitive Science. In W. Bochici. & U. Graham (eds): A Companion to Cognitive Science. Oxford: Theorems.
- Hern, R. 1998. Familing the Delate between Quagnitational and Dynamical. Approaches to Cognition. Behavioral and Brain Sciences 21:650.
- Firmska, R.A. 1991a. Trielligence Without Research. MIT AT Lat Masse 1293, April 1991.
- Brooks, P.A. 1991b. Intelligence without Representation. Amplicial Intelligence 47:141-160.
- Horolics, EA 1997; From Eurwige to Humana, Rebolics and Autonomore Symmu 20,2-4:291–304.
- CIIII M., P. 1998. Completely and Fratmanhenium. Hadronicaling Complete Systems, London: Busiledge.
- Clurk: A 1989. Microcognition: Philosophy. Cognitive Science and Parallel Distributed Processing: Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Chok, A 1997, Bonny There: Pulling Brown, Body and World Together Apain, Combinden, Mass.: MIT Trees.
- Tennen D 1978. Πλαιμπονικα. Cantheldge, Maex.: MIT Frees.
- Tananati, D. 1487. The Julyanana Alexany. Conducing Miss., Miss., Natl. Prepa.
- Dictionali, Pr. 1991. Facili Philippia. The Journal of Philippophy 50:27-51.
- Dennett, D 1993. Rack from the Drawing Roard. In Dahlhom, R (εd):

 //www.trand.Heart.yaps. Combession Mass.: Blackwell.
- Democti, D 1995. Derwin's Designatus Idea: Resolution and the Machines of Left: New York: Concinions.
- Demott, D. 1998. Brainchildren: Ecnays on Designing Minds. 1984-1996.

 Conducidge, Minn. Bungfingl Hapity

- Tagod, 1 1994. The Downler of Hings, Combridge, Mass. Horson.
 University Press.
- Poyerabond, PK 1976. Science in a Pres Society. Landon: Vorsa.
- Fayarahand, PK 1997. Furniturall to Releases Timedom Versali
- Payamband, PK, 1993, Algains/ Mathed Hitird Edition). Laudon: Varso.
- Payerahand, PK 1995. Killing Time: The Autobiography of Paul Engendered Chinega Chinega University Press.
- Holduns, E. 1995. Cognision in the Wild. Cumbrulgs, Mass.: MSS Press.
- Utusion, A 1934, The Doors of Personnion, London: Chano & Windus.
- Kita, J. 1998. Manifer at Photocol World Combining Man. WIT Press.
- Karvit, D. & F. Megiso. 1991. Resolven and Reflection in Telms. Research Report D-075, Cognitive Science Department, University of California, Son Diego.
- Karati. D. & P. Magho. 1992. Porceptive actions in Terms. AAAI Spring.
 Symposium on Control of Salactive Passayrion, Stanford University.
- Karch, D.A. P. Minghij. 1993. Car Thirtingquiding. Equipment from Progression Action. Cognitive Science 18:313-340. Note pagination corresponds to the version at: http://cogneti.ucsd.adu/~kiral/
- Kindl, D.A. & Maglin 1997. Some Equationic Benefits of Antion. Tetric, a Case Study. Proceedings of the Jourteenth annual conference of the Cognitive Science Society, Ulliabile, New Jersey.
- Ktoyrii, A 1967. Fertin the Cleared World in that Infante Distorata. Teleforcia. Infante Hopking University Process.
- Letter. EN 1983. Determinatio Nonperiodic Flow. Journal of the Atmosphera: Status v. 20:130-141.
- Magley, PP, Tr. Marticole, D. Kepherly, B. Clarence y, & D. Kerste, 1999. Interactive Skill in Scrabble. In Proceedings of Twenty-first Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Science Malacals, NT Lawrence Etherm.
- Propinson, To 1994. Philosopheral Materialists. Collins. Ritelbooks.
- Quartz, S. 1998. Draminguishing between the Computational and Dynamical Hypothesis: What Difference Makes the Difference? Releavished and Brain Sciences 21:549-650.
- Ross, D. 2000. Rainfores: Realism. In D. Ross, A. Brook, & D. Thompson. (eds): Dennell's Philipsophy: A. Congrethourse: Approximent Conficidge. Mass.: MIT Press.

- Borz, 13, A. Holaik, and 13 Thompson (eds.) YESS Groups's Philosophy 3 Commissionalise Assessment Combination, Mass.; MEI Perss.
- Rumeihart, DE & JL. McClelland (cds) 1986. Perallel Distributed Proposition, Problem 1.6-71 Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.
- Agramett, To 1999. Review Autoria of Cottons, P.3999. Complexity and Positivodometra Erodom Roellodge. South African Journal of Philosophic 18.2:258–274.
- Spurren, D & Cowley, S (Portheorning). Fulling April (Dody and Language).

 Topathan again. To application Françoison Surveys.
- Highin, F. & I. Samili. 1994. A Typermic Symmetry Appropriate in the Development of Cognition and Action. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Valera, F., R. Thompson and R. Rosch 1991. The Reducted Model Communic Neuronal Human Augmenting Complexion, Mayor, MCC Proye
- Van Gelder, T. 1996. The Dynamical Hypothesia in Cognitive Science. Robotical and Rossa Science, 31 615 665.
- Vygolsky, LX 1968 Throught and Lungouge (Terrolation of 1962 relation). Cambridge, Mass.: MEE Press.
- Wolfram, S. 1983. Statistical Medianics of Cellula: Administr. Rev. Wolf. Phys. vs. 60:1-1644.
- Wolfram, S. 1984. Universality and Complexity in Cellular Automata. Physics D. 10:1-35.